# Multilevel optimization using trust-region and linesearch approaches

<sup>1</sup>CERFACS and CNES, Toulouse, France

December 2008

# Multilevel optimization using trust-region and linesearch approaches

<sup>1</sup>CERFACS and CNES, Toulouse, France

December 2008



2 Recursive trust-region methods





Recursive trust-region methods



- optimization of continuous problems occurs in a many applications: shape optimization, data assimilation, control problems, ...
- Recent optimization methods have been designed to cope with these problems, including multilevel/multigrid algorithms.
- These algorithms involve the computation of a hierarchy of problem descriptions, linked by known operators.

**Our purpose:** review some trust-region and linesearch recent proposals for unconstrained/ bound-constrained optimization:

 $\min_{(x\geq 0)} f(x)$ 

# Hierarchy of problem descriptions

Can we use a structure of the form:

Finest problem description	
Restriction $\downarrow R$	$P \uparrow Prolongation$
Fine problem description	
Restriction $\downarrow R$	$P \uparrow Prolongation$
••••	
Restriction $\downarrow R$	$P \uparrow Prolongation$
Coarse problem description	
Restriction $\downarrow R$	$P \uparrow Prolongation$
Coarsest problem description	

#### Introduction

# Grid transfer operators



3

► < ∃ ►</p>

#### Introduction

# Three keys to multigrid algorithms

- oscillatory components of the error are representable on fine grids, but not on coarse grids
- iterative methods reduce oscillatory components much faster than smooth ones
- $\bullet$  smooth on fine grids  $\rightarrow$  oscillatory on coarse ones

# How to exploit these keys

Annihilate oscillatory error level by level:



Note: *P* and *R* are not othogonal projectors!

A very efficient method for some linear systems (when  $A(\text{smooth modes}) \in \text{smooth modes}$ )

#### Introduction

## Past developments

- Fisher (1998), Nash (2000), Frese-Bouman-Sauer (1999), Nash-Lewis (2002), Oh-Milstein-Bouman-Webb (2003) (linesearch, no explicit smoothing, convergence?)
- Gratton-Sartenaer-T (2004), Gratton-Mouffe-T-Weber (2007,2008) (trust-region, explicit-smoothing, convergence 1rst + 2nd order, worst-case complexity)
- Wen-Goldfarb (2007) (linesearch, explicit smoothing, convergence on convex problems)
- Gratton-T (2008)

(linesearch, implicit smoothing, convergence?)

# Outline



2 Recursive trust-region methods

3 Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# Recursive multilevel trust region

At each iteration at the fine level:

consider a coarser description model with a trust region



- evaluate f at the trial point
- if achieved decrease  $\approx$  predicted decrease:
  - accept the trial point
  - (possibly) enlarge the trust region
- else:
  - keep current point
  - shrink the trust region

# RMTR



# Norms and trust-region shapes

### RMTR

- 2-norm TR and criticality measure
- good results, but trust region scaling problem (recursion)



### $\mathsf{RMTR}\text{-}\infty$

- ∞-norm (bound constraints)
- new criticality measure
- new possibilities for step length



# Model Reduction

• Taylor iterations in the 2-norm version satisfy the sufficient decrease condition

$$m_i(x) - m_i(x+s) \ge \kappa_{red}g(x)\min\left[\frac{g(x)}{\beta},\Delta\right].$$

 $\bullet\,$  Taylor iterations in the  $\infty\text{-norm}$  are constrained; they satisfy

$$h_i(x) - h_i(x+s) \ge \kappa_{red}\chi_i(x)\min\left[1, \frac{\chi_i(x)}{\beta}, \Delta\right].$$

where

$$\chi(x) = |\min_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{RB}_{up} \\ \|d\| \le 1}} \langle g, d \rangle|.$$



Recursive trust-region methods

# Mesh refinement, as different from...

Computing good starting points:

- Solve the problem on the coarsest level
   ⇒ Good starting point for the next fine level
- Do the same on each level
   ⇒ Good starting point for the finest level
- Finally solve the problem on the finest level



# ....V-cycles and Full Multigrid (FMG)

Recursive trust-region methods

• FMG : Combination of mesh refinement and V-cycles



18 / 38

# A first test case: the minimum surface problem (MS)

Consider the minimum surface problem

$$\min_{v \in K} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( 1 + (\partial_x v)^2 + (\partial_y v)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dy,$$

where  $\mathcal{K} = \left\{ v \in H^1(S_2) \mid v(x,y) = v_0(x,y) \text{ on } \partial S_2 \right\}$  with

$$u_0(x,y) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} f(x), & y=0, & 0\leq x\leq 1, \ 0, & x=0, & 0\leq y\leq 1, \ f(x), & y=1, & 0\leq x\leq 1, \ 0, & x=1, & 0\leq y\leq 1, \end{array} 
ight.$$

where f(x) = x(1 - x). Finite element basis (P1 on triangles)  $\rightarrow$  convex problem. Recursive trust-region methods

# Some typical results on MS ( $n = 127^2$ , 6 levels)

#### unconstrained

bound-constrained

	Mesh ref.	$RMTR_2$	$RMTR_\infty$	Mesh ref.	$RMTR_\infty$
nit	1057	23	10	2768	214
nf	23	38	15	649	240
ng	16	28	14	640	236
nH	17	20	6	32	101



# $\mathsf{RMTR}\text{-}\infty$ in practice

- Excellent numerical experience !
- Adaptable to bound-constrained problems
- Fully supported by (simpler?) theory
- Fortan code in the polishing stages ( $\rightarrow$  GALAHAD)

# Outline

## Introduction

Recursive trust-region methods



Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# Linesearch quasi-Newton method

#### Until convergence :

- Compute a search direction d = -Hg
- Perform a linesearch along d, yieding

$$f(x^+) \leq f(x) + lpha \langle g, d 
angle$$
 and  $\langle g^+, d 
angle \geq eta \langle g, d 
angle$ 

• Update the Hessian approximation to satisfy

$$H^+(g^+ - g) = x^+ - x$$
 (secant equation)

BFGS update:

$$H^{+} = \left(I - \frac{ys^{T}}{y^{T}s}\right) H \left(I - \frac{ys^{T}}{y^{T}s}\right) + \frac{ss^{T}}{y^{T}s}$$

with

$$y=g^+-g$$
 and  $s=x^+-x$ 

# Generating new secant equations

The fundamental secant equation:  $H^+y = s$ Motivation:

$$G^{-1}y = s$$
 where  $G = \int_0^1 \nabla_{xx} f(x + ts) dt$ 

#### Assume:

- known invariants subspaces  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^p$  of G.
- known orthogonal projectors onto S<sub>i</sub>

$$G^{-1}S_iy = S_iG^{-1}y = S_is$$

 $\Rightarrow$  new secant equation:  $H^+y_i = s_i$  with  $s_i = S_i s$  and  $y_i = S_i y$ 

# How accurate are these equations?

We prove

$$\frac{\|E\|}{\|G\|} \le \frac{\|Gs_i - y_i\|}{\|s_i\| \|G\|}$$

Now let  $S_i = Q_i D_i Q_i^T$  and

$$Q_i^T G Q_i = G_i$$
 and  $(Q_i^C)^T G Q_i = F_i$ .

Then

$$\frac{\|E_i\|}{\|G\|} \le \frac{\|G_i D_i - D_i G_i\|}{\sigma_{\min}(D_i) \|G\|} + \kappa(D_i) \frac{\|F_i\|}{\|G\|} \frac{\|s\|}{\|s_i\|} \le \kappa(D_i) \left[ 2 \frac{\|G_i\|}{\|G\|} + \frac{\|F_i\|}{\|G\|} \frac{\|s\|}{\|s_i\|} \right]$$

Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# (Limited-memory) multi-secant variant



Natural setting: limited-memory (BFGS) algorithm

 $\Rightarrow$  apply L-BFGS with secant pairs  $(s_1, y_1), \ldots, (s_p, y_p), (s, y)$ 

# Multigrid and invariant subspaces

Are they reasonable settings where the  $S_i$  are known?

Idea: Grid levels may provide invariant subspace information!



 $P^i R^i$  provides a (cheap) approximate  $S_i$  operator!

# Multigrid multi-secant LBFGS... questions

How to order the secant pairs?

Update for lower grid levels (smooth modes) first or last?

Should we control *collinearity*?

remember nested structure of the  $S_i$  subspaces...

test cosines of angles between s and  $s_i$ ?

What information should we remember?

a memory-less BFGS method is possible!

Many possible choices!

Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# A second test case: Dirichlet-to-Neumann transfer (DN)

 It consists [Lewis,Nash,04] in finding the function a(x) defined on [0, π], that minimizes

$$\int_0^\pi \left(\partial_y u(x,0) - \phi(x)\right)^2 dx,$$

where  $\partial_y u$  is the partial derivative of u with respect to y, • and where u is the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta u &=& 0 & \text{ in } S, \\ u(x,y) &=& a(x) & \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ u(x,y) &=& 0 & \text{ on } \partial S \backslash \Gamma. \end{array}$$

Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# A third test case: the multigrid model problem (MG)

• Consider here the two-dimensional model problem for multigrid solvers in the unit square domain  $S_2$ 

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x,y) &= f \text{ in } S_2 \\ u(x,y) &= 0 \text{ on } \partial S_2, \end{aligned}$$

- f such that the analytical solution is u(x, y) = 2y(1 y) + 2x(1 x).
- 5-point finite-difference discretization
- Consider the variational formulation

$$\min_{x\in R^{n_r}}\frac{1}{2}x^TA_rx-x^Tb_r,$$

#### Multigrid limited memory BFGS Data assimilation: the 4D-Var functional

- Consider a dynamical system  $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$  with solution operator  $x(t) = \mathcal{M}(t, x_0)$ .
- Observations  $b_i$  at time  $t_i$  modeled by  $b_i = \mathcal{H}x(t_i) + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $R_i$ .
- The *a priori* error error covariance matrix on  $x_0$  is *B*.
- We wish to find  $x_0$  which minimizes

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x_0 - x_b\|_{B^{-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^N \|\mathcal{HM}(t_i, x_0) - b_i\|_{R_i^{-1}}^2,$$

• The first term in the cost function is the background term, the second term is the observation term.

Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# A fourth test case: the shallow water system (SW)

- The shallow system is often considered as a good approximation of the dynamical systems used in ocean modeling.
- It is based on the Shallow Water equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - fv + g \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \lambda \Delta u \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + fu + g \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = \lambda \Delta v \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} + z \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = \lambda \Delta z \end{cases}$$

- Observations: every 5 points in the physical domain at every 5 time steps
- The a priori term is modeled using a diffusion operator [Weaver, Courtier, 2001]
- The system is time integrated using a leapfrog scheme.
- The damping in  $\lambda\Delta$  improves spatial solution smoothness

Multigrid limited memory BFGS

# Relative accuracy of the multigrid secant equations

Plot ||E|| / ||G|| against k



 $\Rightarrow$  size of perturbation marginal

# Testing a few variants

In our tests:

- old approximate secant pairs are discarded
- the LM updates are started with  $\frac{\langle y,s \rangle}{||y||^2}$  times the identity
- L-BFGS + 8 algorithmic variants:

	collinearity control (0.999)			
	no		yes	
Update order	mem	nomem	mem	nomem
Coarse first	CNM	CNN	CYM	CYN
Fine first	FNM	FNN	FYM	FYN

Memory management:

\*M: past "exact" secant pairs are used (mem)

\*N: past "exact" secant pairs are not used (nomem)

# The results

Algo	DN $(n = 255)$	MG $(n = 127^2)$	SW $(n = 63^2)$	MS $(n = 127^2)$
levels/mem	7/10	6/9	3/5	4/5
L-BFGS	330/319	308/299	64/61	387/378
CNM	94/84	137/122	83/81	224/192
CNN	125/100	174/134	57/55	408/338
CYM	110/92	123/104	83/81	196/170
CYN	113/89	138/107	57/55	338/267
FNM	120/100	172/144	63/57	241/208
FNN	137/89	151/120	65/62	280/221
FYM	90/76	149/128	63/57	211/176
FYN	140/107	153/120	65/62	283/216

## (NF/NIT)

# Further developments (not covered in this talk)

#### Observations:

- L-BFGS acts as a smoother
- the step is asymptotically very smooth
- the eigenvalues associated with the smooth subspace are (relatively) close to each other
- the step is asymptotically an approximate eigenvector
- an equation of the form

$$Hs_i = \frac{\langle y_i, s_i \rangle}{\|y_i\|^2} s_i$$

can also be included...

 $\Rightarrow$  more (efficient) algorithmic variants!

# Conclusions

Multilevel/multigrid optimization useful and interesting

Much remains to be explored

Recursive trust-region methods often very effective

Invariant subspace information useful for some problems

Multilevel quasi-Newton information exploitable

# Perspectives

- More complicated constraints
- Better understanding of approximate secant/eigen information
- Invariant subspaces without grids?
- Multilevel L-BFGS in RMTR?
- Combination with ACO methods?
- More test problems?

Thank you for your attention!

 $Papers: \ http://perso.fundp.ac.be/\sim phtoint/publications.html$